REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration

in view of the instant response. Claims 1-20 remain pending in the case.

Claims 1-20 are rejected.

DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created (nonstatutory)

doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

Claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,223,285. A terminal disclaimer in compliance

with 37 CFR §1.321 is being submitted concurrent with the instant response,

thereby obviating the double patenting rejection.

<u>DRAWINGS</u>

The drawings of the present Application are objected to, as Figure 1

does not include the designation -- Prior Art--. Enclosed herein are corrected

Formal Drawings. No new matter has been added.

SPECIFICATION

The Abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the

limitation of 150 words. The Abstract has been amended herein to meet the

150 word limitation.

35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

- 4 - Art Unit: 2135

Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,028,932 by Park, hereinafter referred to as the "Park" reference. Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submits that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 14-20 are not anticipated by Park.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to independent Claim 1 that recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to (emphasis added):

A system for transferring information, said system comprising:

a source device for encoding an encryption mode identifier (EMI) code into an information packet and for transmitting said information packet over a communication interface, said source device comprising:

> a first encryption circuit for encrypting data of said information packet provided said EMI code indicates a first mode: and

a second encryption circuit for encrypting said data of said information packet provided said EMI code indicates a second mode: and

a sink device for receiving said information packet from said communication interface, said sink device comprising:

> an extractor circuit for extracting said EMI code from said information packet; and

a second decryption circuit for decrypting said data of said information packet in response to said extractor circuit indicating that said EMI code is of said second mode; and wherein said first mode is a copy prohibition mode indicating that said information packet is not to be reproduced by said sink device and wherein said second mode is a copy once

reproduced more than once by said sink device.

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

inhibition mode indicating that said information packet is not to be

- 5 -

Independent Claims 8 and 15 recite similar limitations. Claims 2, 3 and 6 that depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 9, 10 and 14 that depend from independent Claim 8, and Claims 16-20 that depend on independent Claim 15 provide further recitations of features of the present invention.

The claimed embodiments of the present invention are related to an information system that utilizes an EMI code transmitted between a source and a sink for performing two function: 1) selecting between different encryption modes for encrypting information packets transmitted from the source device to the sink device and the also 2) indicates a level of copy protection allowed for the packet. Depending on which secure mode is selected, a different encryption process is used by the source device to encrypt the transmission. Further, depending on which secure mode is selected, a different decryption process is used by the sink device to decrypt the transmission.

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1, 8 and 15 overcome the Park reference because Park does not teach or suggest these claimed features. Park and the claimed invention are very different. Applicants understand Park to teach a copy prevention apparatus for a digital video system. In particular, Park teaches a device for reproducing data that includes decryption means for decrypting the output and transmitting it to a recording-side VCR. Moreover, the device as taught in park may receive decrypted information and encrypts the information according to an encrypting means.

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

Art Unit: 2135

With reference to Figure 5 of Park, a copy prevention apparatus is shown including a reproducing portion 1 for reproducing data recorded on tape, a key inserting portion 2 for adding a tape header start code and key field at the front end of a bit stream of reproducing portion 1, and a <u>decrypting portion</u> 3 for decrypting the output of key inserting portion 2 and transmitting it as parallel data to a recording-side VCR (col. 3, lines 58-65). Specifically, Park teaches the use of public key encryption where data is <u>decrypted</u> according to a key, and the decrypted data and the key are both transmitted to a recording device. In order to record the decrypted data at the recording-side VCR, the reproducing-side VCR must transmit its unique key to the recording-side VCR, thus allowing the recording-side VCR to encrypt the data according to the unique key (col. 5, lines 21-31.

Moreover, as shown in Figure 5, Park teaches a copy prevention apparatus including a key detecting/correcting portion 4 for detecting a key field from the parallel data transmitted from decrypting portion 3 and an encrypting portion 7 for encrypting the output of copy in order to make a recording of the copy. As described above, encrypting the data requires the receipt of a key as detected by key detecting/correcting portion 4. Applicants respectfully assert that Park teaches a reproducing-side device for decrypting data and transmitting it to a recording-side device along with a key, wherein the recording-side device is for encrypting the data based on the key. In contrast,

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

by teaching the transmission of decrypted data along with a key, Park <u>teaches</u> away from the present invention as claimed.

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does Park teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claims 1, 8 and 15, and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Park also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 2, 3 and 6 that depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 9, 10 and 14 that depend from independent Claim 8, and Claims 16-20 that depend on independent Claim 15. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2, 3, 6, 9, 10 and 16-20 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-13, 15, 16 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,047,103 by Yamauchi et al., hereinafter referred to as the "Yamauchi" reference. Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submits that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1, 3-8, 10-13, 15, 16 and 18 are not anticipated by Yamauchi.

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

- 8 - Art Unit: 2135

As described above, independent Claims 1, 8 and 15 of the present invention are directed towards an information system that utilizes an EMI code transmitted between a source device and a sink device for encrypting information packets transmitted from the source device to the sink device at a selected level of copy protection. As claimed, the source device includes a first encryption circuit and a second encryption circuit. In particular, the first encryption circuit and a second encryption circuit operate independently to encrypt data using different modes. With reference to Figure 5A of the present specification, broadcast receiver device 120 includes encrypt unit A 418 and encrypt unit B 420. As described in the accompanying specification, encrypt unit A 418 and encrypt unit B 420 provide different EMI encryption modes (page 15, line 19 through page 16, line 12).

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1, 8 and 15 overcome the Yamauchi reference because Yamauchi does not teach or suggest these claimed features. Yamauchi and the claimed invention are very different.

Applicants understand Yamauchi to teach a data transmitting device capable of performing copyright protection processing. In particular, Yamauchi teaches an information processing apparatus including a disk reproduction drive having a single encrypting section. Applicants respectfully assert that Yamauchi does not teach, describe or suggest that the encrypting section provides two different encryption modes. On the contrary, by teaching a single encryption section

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

- 9 - Art Unit: 2135

while remaining silent as to its operation, Yamauchi <u>teaches away from</u> such a configuration.

Moreover, independent Claims 1 and 8 are directed towards an information system that includes a sink device including an extractor circuit. With reference to Figure 5A of the present specification, sink device 130 includes EMI extractor 440 (page 16, lines 18-25). In particular, the extractor circuit is comprised within the sink device.

In contrast, Applicants understand Yamauchi to teach an information processing apparatus including a disk reproduction drive, an AV signal processor, and a controller, wherein each component is discrete and coupled to an I/O bus. With reference to Figure 15 of Yamauchi, an information processing apparatus is shown including disk reproduction drive 125, AV signal processor 126, and controller 128. In particular, controller 128 is not comprised within AV signal processor 126. On the contrary, by teaching that AV signal processor 126 does not include controller 128, Yamauchi teaches away from such a configuration.

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does Yamauchi teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claims 1, 8 and 15, and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that

Serial No.: 09/664,992 Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

- 10 -

Art Unit: 2135

Yamauchi also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 3-7 that depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 10-13 that depend from independent Claim 8, and Claims 16 and 18 that depend on independent Claim 15. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 3-7, 10-13, 16 and 18 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

CONCLUSION

Based on the arguments presented above, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-20 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of these Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO L.L.P.

Dated: 79 542, 2004

Matthew J. Blecher Registration No. 46,558

Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 938-9060

Serial No.: 09/664,992

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

- 11 -